

1	4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of
2	justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within
3	which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States
4	Code, Section 3161 (h)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering
5	the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161(h)(7)(B) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
6	This is the first request for a continuance of the preliminary hearing.
7	DATED this 17 th day of September, 2015.
8	RENE L. VALLADARES DANIEL G. BOGDEN,
9	Federal Public Defender United States Attorney
10	
11	/s/ Rebecca Levy /s/Brandon Jaroch REBECCA LEVY BRANDON JAROCH
12	Assistant Federal Public Defender Assistant United States Attorney
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 2

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 2:15-cr-254-KJD-GWF

ORDER

VS.

MARISOL LOPEZ,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- Counsel for the defendant will be unavailable during the currently scheduled date. A
 brief continuance is being sought.
 - 2. The defendant is not detained and does not oppose a continuance.
 - 3. The parties are in agreement to the continuance.
- 4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161 (h)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161(h)(7)(B) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

This is the first request for a continuance of the preliminary hearing..

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, title 18, United
2	States Code, Section 3161(h)(1)(A), 3161(h)(7) and Title 18 United States Code, §§ 3161 (h)(7)(A),
3	when the considering the facts under Title 18, United States Code, §§ 316(h)(7)(B) and
4	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
5	<u>ORDER</u>
6	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Arraignment and Plea hearing currently
7	scheduled on September 24, 2015 at 3:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to October 8, 2015
8	at the hour of 3:00 p.m.
9	
10	DATED this 18th day of September, 2015.
11	M Cap
12	UNITED STATES MACISTRATE JUDGE
13	UNITED STATES WACKS RATE JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 2:15-cr-00254-KJD-GWF Document 18 Filed 09/18/15 Page 4 of 4